

STABILITY OF AN ADDITIVE FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITY IN BANACH SPACES

SANG-CHO CHUNG*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality

$$\|f(x_1+x_2)+f(x_2+x_3)+\cdots+f(x_n+x_1)\| \leq \|tf(x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_n)\|$$

in Banach spaces where a positive integer $n \geq 3$ and a real number t such that $2 \leq t < n$.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In 1940, S.M. Ulam [5] suggested the stability problem of functional equations concerning the stability of group homomorphisms as follows: *Let (\mathcal{G}, \circ) be a group and let (\mathcal{H}, \star, d) be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if a mapping $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ satisfies the inequality $d(f(x \circ y), f(x) \star f(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$, then a homomorphism $F : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ exists with $d(f(x), F(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$?*

In the next year, D.H. Hyers [2] gave a first (partial) affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces as follows: *If $\delta > 0$ and if $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a mapping between Banach spaces \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} satisfying*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$, then there is a unique additive mapping $A : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that $\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \delta$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$.

Thereafter, we call that type the Hyers-Ulam stability.

Received December 30, 2015; Accepted February 05, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39B82.

Key words and phrases: additive functional inequality, Banach space.

2. Hyers-Ulam stability in Banach spaces

Throughout this paper, let \mathcal{X} be a normed linear space and \mathcal{Y} a Banach space. In 2007, C. Park, Y. S. Cho and M.-H. Han [4] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality

$$\|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| \leq \|f(x + y + z)\|$$

in Banach spaces. In 2013, S.-C Chung [1] prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality

$$\|f(2x_1) + f(2x_2) + \cdots + f(2x_n)\| \leq \|tf(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)\|$$

in Banach spaces where a positive integer $n \geq 3$ and a real number t such that $2 \leq t < n$.

In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality

$$\|f(x_1 + x_2) + f(x_2 + x_3) + \cdots + f(x_n + x_1)\| \leq \|tf(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)\|$$

in Banach spaces.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a mapping. For an odd integer n and a real number t suppose that $3 \leq n$ and $2 \leq t < n$. Then it is additive if and only if it satisfies*

$$(2.1) \quad \|f(x_1 + x_2) + f(x_2 + x_3) + \cdots + f(x_n + x_1)\| \leq \|tf(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)\|$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. If f is additive, then clearly

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x_1 + x_2) + f(x_2 + x_3) + \cdots + f(x_n + x_1)\| \\ &= \|2f(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)\| \\ &\leq \|tf(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$.

Conversely assume that f satisfies (2.1). Letting $x_i = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) in (2.1), we have $\|nf(0)\| \leq \|tf(0)\|$ and so $f(0) = 0$ by the hypothesis. Putting $x_1 = x, x_2 = -x, x_i = 0$ ($3 \leq i \leq n$) in (2.1), we get $\|f(-x) + f(x)\| \leq \|tf(0)\| = 0$ and so $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Setting $x_1 = x, x_i = (-1)^i y$ ($2 \leq i \leq n - 1$), $x_n = -x - y$ in (2.1), we have

$$\|f(x + y) + f(-x) + f(-y)\| \leq \|tf(0)\| = 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. Thus we obtain $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. \square

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$. For an odd integer n and a real number t suppose that $3 \leq n$ and $2 \leq t < n$. If there is a function $\varphi : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|f(x_1 + x_2) + f(x_2 + x_3) + \cdots + f(x_n + x_1)\| \\ & \leq \|tf(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)\| + \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi((-2)^j x_1, (-2)^j x_2, \dots, (-2)^j x_n) < \infty$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$

$$(2.4) \quad \|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(-x, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, 2x)$$

where $x_i = (-1)^{i-1}x$ ($2 \leq i \leq n-1$).

Proof. Putting $x_1 = (-2)^l(-x)$, $x_i = (-2)^l(-1)^{i-1}x$ ($2 \leq i \leq l-1$), $x_n = (-2)^{l+1}(-x)$, respectively, and dividing by 2^{l+1} in (2.2), since $f(0) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f((-2)^{l+1}x)}{(-2)^{l+1}} - \frac{f((-2)^lx)}{(-2)^l} \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^{l+1}} \varphi((-2)^l(-x), (-2)^l(-x), (-2)^lx, \dots, (-2)^l(-x), (-2)^{l+1}(-x)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and all nonnegative integers l . From the above inequality, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f((-2)^lx)}{(-2)^l} - \frac{f((-2)^mx)}{(-2)^m} \right\| \leq \sum_{j=m}^{l-1} \left\| \frac{f((-2)^{j+1}x)}{(-2)^{j+1}} - \frac{f((-2)^jx)}{(-2)^j} \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=m}^{l-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} \varphi((-2)^j(-x), (-2)^j(-x), (-2)^jx, \dots, (-2)^j(-x), (-2)^{j+1}(-x)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and all nonnegative integers m, l with $m < l$. By the condition (2.3), the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f((-2)^lx)}{(-2)^l} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Since \mathcal{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{f((-2)^lx)}{(-2)^l} \right\}$ converges for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So one can define a mapping $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ by $A(x) :=$

$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f((-2)^l x)}{(-2)^l}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Taking $m = 0$ and letting n tend to ∞ in (2.5), we have the inequality (2.4).

Replacing $x_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ by $(-2)^l x_i$, respectively, and dividing by 2^l in (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{f((-2)^l(x_1 + x_2))}{(-2)^l} + \frac{f((-2)^l(x_2 + x_3))}{(-2)^l} + \cdots + \frac{f((-2)^l(x_n + x_1))}{(-2)^l} \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{tf((-2)^l(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n))}{(-2)^l} \right\| + \frac{1}{2^l} \varphi((-2)^l x_1, (-2)^l x_2, \dots, (-2)^l x_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ and all nonnegative integers l . Since (2.3) gives that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^l} \varphi((-2)^l x_1, (-2)^l x_2, \dots, (-2)^l x_n) = 0$$

for all $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$, letting l tend to ∞ in the above inequality, we have

$$\|A(x_1 + x_2) + A(x_2 + x_3) + \cdots + A(x_n + x_1)\| \leq \|tA(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)\|.$$

So by Lemma 2.1 A is an additive mapping.

Let $A' : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be another additive mapping satisfying (2.4). Since both A and A' are additive, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A(x) - A'(x)\| = \frac{1}{2^l} \|A((-2)^l x) - A'((-2)^l x)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^l} (\|A((-2)^l x) - f((-2)^l x)\| + \|f((-2)^l x) - A'((-2)^l x)\|) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^l} \tilde{\varphi}((-2)^l(-x), (-2)^l(-x), (-2)^l x, \dots, (-2)^l(-x), (-2)^{l+1}(-x)) \\ & = \sum_{j=l}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi((-2)^j(-x), (-2)^j(-x), (-2)^j x, \dots, (-2)^j(-x), (-2)^{j+1}(-x)) \end{aligned}$$

which goes to zero as $l \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ by (2.3). Therefore, A is a unique additive mapping satisfying (2.4), as desired. \square

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a mapping with $f(0) = 0$. If there is a function $\varphi : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.2) and*

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{(-2)^j}, \frac{x_2}{(-2)^j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{(-2)^j}\right) < \infty$$

for all $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad \|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(-x, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, 2x)$$

where $x_i = (-1)^{i-1}x$ ($2 \leq i \leq n-1$).

Proof. Putting $x_1 = \frac{-x}{(-2)^l}$, $x_i = (-1)^i \frac{-x}{(-2)^l}$ ($2 \leq i \leq n-1$), $x_n = \frac{-x}{(-2)^{l-1}}$, respectively, and multiplying by 2^{l-1} in (2.2), since $f(0) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (-2)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(-2)^l}\right) - (-2)^{l-1} f\left(\frac{x}{(-2)^{l-1}}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq 2^{l-1} \varphi\left(\frac{-x}{(-2)^l}, \frac{-x}{(-2)^l}, \frac{x}{(-2)^l}, \dots, \frac{-x}{(-2)^l}, \frac{-x}{(-2)^{l-1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and all $l \in \mathbb{N}$. From the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad & \left\| (-2)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(-2)^l}\right) - (-2)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(-2)^m}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=m+1}^l \left\| (-2)^j f\left(\frac{x}{(-2)^j}\right) - (-2)^{j-1} f\left(\frac{x}{(-2)^{j-1}}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=m+1}^l 2^{j-1} \varphi\left(\frac{-x}{(-2)^j}, \frac{-x}{(-2)^j}, \frac{x}{(-2)^j}, \dots, \frac{-x}{(-2)^j}, \frac{-x}{(-2)^{j-1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and all nonnegative integers m, l with $m < l$. From (2.6), the sequence $\left\{(-2)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(-2)^l}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Since \mathcal{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{(-2)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(-2)^l}\right)\right\}$ converges for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So one can define a mapping $A : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ by $A(x) := \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (-2)^l f\left(\frac{x}{(-2)^l}\right)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. To prove that A satisfies (2.7), putting $m = 0$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - A(x)\| & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j-1} \varphi\left(\frac{-x}{(-2)^j}, \frac{-x}{(-2)^j}, \frac{x}{(-2)^j}, \dots, \frac{-x}{(-2)^j}, \frac{-x}{(-2)^{j-1}}\right) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(-x, -x, x, \dots, -x, 2x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Replacing x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) by $\frac{x_i}{(-2)^l}$, respectively, and multiplying by 2^l in (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (-2)^l f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{(-2)^l}\right) + (-2)^l f\left(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{(-2)^l}\right) + \cdots + (-2)^l f\left(\frac{x_n + x_1}{(-2)^l}\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| t(-2)^l f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n}{(-2)^l}\right) \right\| + 2^l \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{(-2)^l}, \frac{x_2}{(-2)^l}, \cdots, \frac{x_n}{(-2)^l}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ and all nonnegative integers l . From (2.6) we have the following

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} 2^l \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{(-2)^l}, \frac{x_2}{(-2)^l}, \cdots, \frac{x_n}{(-2)^l}\right) = 0$$

for all $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$, if we let $l \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, then we have

$$\|A(x_1 + x_2) + A(x_2 + x_3) + \cdots + A(x_n + x_1)\| \leq \|tA(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)\|.$$

for all $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$. By Lemma 2.1, the mapping A is additive. The rest of the proof is similar to the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 2.2. \square

References

- [1] S.-C. Chung, *On the stability of a general additive functional inequality in Banach spaces*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **26** (2013), no. 4, 907-913.
- [2] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **27** (1941), 222-224.
- [3] J. R. Lee, C. Park, and D. Y. Shin, *Stability of an additive functional inequality in proper CQ^* -algebras*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **48** (2011), 853-871.
- [4] C. Park, Y. S. Cho, and M.-H. Han, *Functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Neumann-type additive functional equations*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2007** (2007) Article ID 41820, 13 pages.
- [5] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ., New York, 1960.

*

Department of Mathematics Education
Mokwon University
Daejeon 302-729, Republic of Korea
E-mail: math888@naver.com